

**Math 231b**  
**Lecture 12**

G. Quick

12. LECTURE 12: REPRESENTABILITY OF  $\text{Vect}^k(B)$

Our next goal is to prove the following fundamental result.

**Theorem 12.1.** *For a paracompact space  $B$ , the map  $[B, \text{Gr}_k] \rightarrow \text{Vect}^k(B)$ ,  $[f] \mapsto f^*(\gamma^k)$ , is a bijection.*

**Remark 12.2.** The theorem justifies to call the infinite Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}_k$  is the *classifying space* and  $\gamma^k$  is the *universal bundle* for  $k$ -dimensional real vector bundles.

**Example 12.3.** Let  $\tau$  be the tangent bundle to  $S^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is given by the projection  $p: E(\tau) \rightarrow S^n$  where

$$E(\tau) = \{(x, v) \in S^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | x \perp v\}.$$

Each fiber  $p^{-1}(x)$  is an  $n$ -plane and hence defines a point in  $\text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ . This defines a map

$$S^n \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), x \mapsto p^{-1}(x).$$

Via the inclusion  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$  we can view this as a map

$$f: S^n \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty) = \text{Gr}_n.$$

The bundle  $\tau$  is exactly the pullback  $f^*(\gamma^n)$ . We check this on total spaces in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(\tau) \cong f^*(E(\gamma^n)) & \longrightarrow & E(\gamma^n) \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ S^n & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Gr}_n. \end{array}$$

since we have

$$f^*(E(\gamma^n)) = \{(x, (V, v)) \in S^n \times E(\gamma^n) | f(x) = \pi(V, v)\} = \{(x, (V, v)) | p^{-1}(x) = V, \text{ i.e. } x \perp v\}.$$

**12.1. Proof of Theorem 12.1.** We first claim that, for a  $k$ -dimensional bundle  $p: E = E(\xi) \rightarrow B$ , an isomorphism  $\xi \cong f^*(\gamma^k)$  is equivalent to a map  $g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$  which is linear and injective on each fiber. To prove this claim suppose we have a

map  $f: B \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k$  and an isomorphism  $\xi \cong f^*(\gamma^k)$ . Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E & \xrightarrow{\cong} & f^*(\gamma^k) & \xrightarrow{f'} & E(\gamma^k) & \xrightarrow{g^k} & \mathbb{R}^\infty \\ & \searrow p & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & B & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Gr}_k & & \end{array}$$

with  $g^k(V, v) = v$ . The composition along the top row is a map  $g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$  which is linear and injective on each fiber, since both  $f'$  and  $g^k$  have this property. Conversely, given a map  $g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$  which is linear and injective on each fiber, define  $f: B \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k$  by letting  $f(b)$  be the  $k$ -plane  $g(p^{-1}(b))$ . This yields a commutative diagram as above.

Now we are ready to prove the theorem. We start with the surjectivity of the map  $[B, \text{Gr}_k] \rightarrow \text{Vect}^k(B)$ . Let  $\xi$  be a  $k$ -dimensional bundle given by the map  $p: E \rightarrow B$ . Since  $B$  is paracompact there is a countable open cover  $\{U_j\}$  of  $B$  such that  $\xi$  is trivial over each  $U_j$  and there is a partition of unity  $\{\varphi_j\}$  with  $\varphi_j$  supported on  $U_j$ . Let  $g_j: \pi^{-1}(U_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the composition of a trivialization  $p^{-1}(U_j) \rightarrow U_j \times \mathbb{R}^n$  with the projection onto  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The map

$$(\varphi_j \circ p) \cdot g_j: p^{-1}(U_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, v \mapsto \varphi_j(p(v)) \cdot g_j(v)$$

extends to a map  $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  that is zero outside  $p^{-1}(U_j)$ . Near each point of  $B$  only finitely many  $\varphi_j$ 's are nonzero, and at least one  $\varphi_j$  is nonzero. Hence these extended maps  $(\varphi_j \circ p) \cdot g_j$  are the coordinates of a map  $g: E \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^\infty = \mathbb{R}^\infty$  that is a linear injection on each fiber. By our claim above this induces a map  $f: B \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k$  and the proof of surjectivity is complete.

For injectivity, let  $f_0, f_1: B \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k$  be two maps with isomorphisms  $\xi \cong f_0^*(\gamma^k)$  and  $\xi \cong f_1^*(\gamma^k)$ . By our first claim these two maps induce maps  $g_0, g_1: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$  which are linear and injective on each fiber. We will now show that  $g_0$  and  $g_1$  are homotopic through maps  $g_t$  which are linear and injective on each fiber. Then  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are homotopic via

$$f_t(b) = g_t(p^{-1}(b)).$$

Therefore, let  $L_t$  be the homotopy

$$L_t: \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty, L_t(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (1-t)(x_1, x_2, \dots) + t(x_1, 0, x_2, 0, \dots).$$

For each  $t$ , this is a linear map. Its kernel is trivial, since if

$$L_t(x_1, \dots, x_n) = ((1-t)x_1 + tx_1, (1-t)x_2, (1-t)x_3 + tx_2, \dots) = 0$$

then we get  $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, \dots$ . Hence  $L_t$  is injective. Composing  $L_t$  with  $g_0$  moves the image of  $g_0$  into the odd-numbered coordinates and we have a homotopy

which is linear and injective on fibers

$$g_0 = L_0 \circ g_0 \sim L_1 \circ g_0 =: \tilde{g}_0.$$

Similarly, let  $M_t$  be the homotopy

$$M_t: \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty, M_t(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (1-t)(x_1, x_2, \dots) + t(0, x_1, 0, x_2, 0, \dots).$$

For each  $t$ , this is a linear map. Its kernel is trivial, since if

$$M_t(x_1, \dots, x_n) = ((1-t)x_1, (1-t)x_2 + tx_1, (1-t)x_3, (1-t)x_4 + tx_2, \dots) = 0$$

then we get  $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, \dots$ . Hence  $M_t$  is injective. Composing  $M_t$  with  $g_1$  moves the image of  $g_1$  into the even-numbered coordinates and we have a homotopy which is linear and injective on fibers

$$g_1 = M_0 \circ g_1 \sim M_1 \circ g_1 =: \tilde{g}_1.$$

Then we let

$$\tilde{g}_t = (1-t)\tilde{g}_0 + t\tilde{g}_1.$$

The reason for composing with  $L_t$  and  $M_t$  is that  $\tilde{g}_t$  is a map which is linear and injective on fibers for each  $t$ , since  $g_0$  and  $g_1$  are linear and injective on fibers. Overall we obtain homotopies which are linear and injective on fibers

$$g_0 \sim \tilde{g}_0 \sim \tilde{g}_1 \sim g_1$$

as desired. This completes the proof of Theorem 12.1.

**12.2. Universality reformulated.** The statement of Theorem 12.1 is closely related to the following two assertions which reformulate the universality of the canonical bundle  $\gamma^k$ .

**Theorem 12.4.** *For any  $k$ -dimensional bundle  $\xi$  over a paracompact base space  $B$  there exists a bundle map  $f: \xi \rightarrow \gamma^k$ .*

*Proof.* We have seen in the previous proof that there is a map

$$g: E(\xi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$$

which is linear and injective on the fibers of  $\xi$  and which is unique up to a homotopy which is linear and injective on the fibers. Then we can define the bundle map  $f$  by

$$f(e) = (g(\text{fiber in which } e \text{ lies}), g(e)).$$

□

Two bundle maps  $F, G: \xi \rightarrow \gamma^k$  are called *bundle-homotopic* if there exists a one-parameter family of maps

$$H_t: \xi \rightarrow \gamma^k, 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

with  $H_0 = F$ ,  $H_1 = G$  such that

$$H: E(\xi) \times [0,1] \rightarrow E(\gamma^k)$$

is continuous as a function of both variables.

**Theorem 12.5.** *Any two bundle maps from a  $k$ -dimensional bundle  $\xi$  to  $\gamma^k$  are bundle-homotopic.*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi$  be given by the map  $p: E \rightarrow B$ . We know that a bundle map  $F: \xi \rightarrow \gamma^k$  determines a map

$$g: E(\xi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$$

whose restriction to each fiber of  $\xi$  is linear and injective. Conversely,  $g$  determines  $F$  by the identity

$$F(e) = (g(\text{fiber in which } e \text{ lies}), g(e)).$$

Now suppose we have two bundle maps  $F_0, F_1: \xi \rightarrow \gamma^k$  and let  $f_0, f_1: B \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k$  be the corresponding maps on base spaces. We have seen in Lecture 04 that the bundle maps  $F_0, F_1$  come equipped with isomorphisms  $\xi \cong f_0^*(\gamma^k)$  and  $\xi \cong f_1^*(\gamma^k)$ . Then we know from the proof of Theorem 12.1 that there is a homotopy  $g_t$  between  $g_0$  and  $g_1$  which induces a homotopy  $f_t$  between  $f_0$  and  $f_1$ . But the homotopy  $g_t$  also induces a bundle homotopy  $F_t$  between  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  by defining

$$F_t(e) := (g_t(\text{fiber in which } e \text{ lies}), g_t(e)).$$

□

**12.3. Universal characteristic classes.** We can use the above results to reconsider the concept of characteristic classes. For a  $k$ -dimensional vector bundle  $\xi$  let  $f_\xi: B \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k$  be a representative of the homotopy class corresponding to  $\xi$  under the bijection of Theorem 12.1.

Now let  $R$  be any coefficient ring and let

$$c \in H^i(\text{Gr}_k; R)$$

be any cohomology class. Then we get an induced class

$$c(\xi) := f_\xi^*(c) \in H^i(B; R).$$

**Definition 12.6.** The class  $c(\xi)$  is called the *characteristic cohomology class* of  $\xi$  determined by  $c$ .

Note that the correspondence  $\xi \mapsto c(\xi)$  is natural with respect to bundle maps, i.e., it commutes with pullbacks.

Conversely, given any correspondence

$$\xi \mapsto c(\xi) \in H^i(B; R)$$

which is natural with respect to bundle maps, then we must have

$$c(\xi) = f_\xi^* c(\gamma^k).$$

Thus the above construction is the most general one. In other words:

**Corollary 12.7.** *The ring consisting of all characteristic cohomology classes for  $k$ -dimensional bundles over paracompact base spaces with coefficient ring  $R$  is canonically isomorphic to the cohomology ring  $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_k; R)$ .*

Hence it is a very important task to compute the cohomology ring  $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_k; R)$ . For  $R = \mathbb{Z}/2$ , we will do this in the next lecture.